

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,885	02/01/2006	Ulrich Stauss	06-112	9863
	7590 07/03/2007 LAPOINTE, P.C.		EXAMINER	
900 CHAPEL STREET			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	- 10/566,885	STAUSS, ULRICH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ernesto Garcia	. 3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thind eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>F</u>	February 1, 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und					
Disposition of Claims					
· · ·	ection				
	✓ Claim(s) <u>23-44</u> is/are pending in the application.✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	idiawii iioiii consideration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 23-44 are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement				
,	·	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	· ·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been	pplication No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\□ 1-4 1 = 6	(DTO 442)			
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview S Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Restriction and Election of Species

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 23-37, drawn to a device.

Group II, claim(s) 38-44, drawn to a tool.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

No special technical features are present in the claims, which links the two inventions.

If applicant elects Group I, applicants need to further elect a species of the device.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

I. Figures 1-3.

II. Figures 4 and 5.

Art Unit: 3679

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claim 27 corresponds to Species I.

Claims 28, 29, and 31-37 correspond to Species II.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 23-26 and 30.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Species I lacks a slip-on collar, a collar piece, and an inclined wall surface ending at the head surface (50a) of the screw head (36a). This species contains a radial step surface, a top body, and a polygonal socket provided in the top body.

Species II lacks a radial step surface, a top body 48, and the polygonal socket provided in the top body. This species contains a slip-on collar, a collar piece, and a wall surface 42 ending at the head surface 50a of the screw head 36a.

Art Unit: 3679

A telephone call was made to Mr. Gregory P. LaPointe on June 18, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,885

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-272-

7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30AM-6:00PM. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

June 21, 2007

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Jamel P Stodola

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500